

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.

REMARKS

This is in full and timely response to the non-final Office Action dated April 5, 2004. Reexamination in light of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 8-26, 28-38 and 40-71 are currently pending in this application, with claims 8, 40, 47, 58 and 65 being independent. No new matter has been added.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102 and §103**In the claims**

Claim 8 and the claims dependent thereon include:

a printer housing portion, a printer mechanism and an operation system;

said printer housing portion having a connector, said connector mechanically and electrically attaching a camera to said printer housing portion;

said camera being removably connectable with said printer housing portion, said camera being adapted to operate separate and apart from said printer, a display device being incorporated within said camera;

said printer mechanism being incorporated within said printer housing portion, an image being captured by said camera; and

said operation system being incorporated within said printer housing portion, said operation system controlling said camera to select said image for exhibition on said display device as a displayed image, said operation system controlling said printer mechanism to output a physical reproduction of said displayed image.

Claim 40 and the claims dependent thereon include:

a printer housing portion having a camera station for attaching a camera to said printer housing portion, said camera having a display device for displaying a picture, said display device being incorporated within said camera;

a printer mechanism incorporated within said printer housing portion for outputting a physical reproduction of said picture; and

an operation system incorporated within said printer housing portion for controlling said camera attached to said camera station to select said picture for display on said display device, and for controlling said printer mechanism to output a physical reproduction of said selected picture.

Claim 47 and the claims dependent thereon include:

a printer housing portion having a camera station for attaching a camera to said printer housing portion, said camera having a display device for displaying a picture, said display device being incorporated within said camera;

a printer mechanism incorporated within said printer housing portion for outputting a physical reproduction of said picture; and

an operation system incorporated within said printer housing portion for controlling said camera attached to said camera station to select said picture from a plurality of pictures recorded by said camera as continuous motion images for display on said display device, and for controlling said printer mechanism to output a physical reproduction of said selected picture.

Claim 58 and the claims dependent thereon include the steps of:

attaching said camera to a printer housing portion of a printer;

operating a camera operation system that controls said camera to select said picture for display on said display device; and

operating a printer operation system to output a physical reproduction of said selected picture from said printer,

wherein said camera operation system and said printer operation system are incorporated within said printer housing portion, and

wherein said display device is incorporated within said camera.

Claim 65 and the claims dependent thereon include the steps of:

attaching said camera to a printer housing portion of a printer;

operating a camera operation system that controls said camera to select said picture from a plurality of pictures recorded by said camera as continuous motion images for display on said display device; and

operating a printer operation system to output a physical reproduction of said selected picture from said printer,

wherein said camera operation system and said printer operation system are incorporated within said printer housing portion, and

wherein said display device is incorporated within said camera.

Claims 40-43, 45-54, 56-61, 63-68 and 70-71 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,926,285 to Takahashi.

This rejection is traversed at least for the following reasons.

Within independent claims 40, 47, 58 and 65, the display device is incorporated within the camera.

Takahashi arguably teaches an image reproduction system for reproducing a still image from a video. However, the Office Action admits on page 13 that Takahashi fails to explicitly state that the display device is incorporated within the video camera.

Withdrawal of this rejection and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 44, 55, 62 and 69 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly being obvious over Takahashi in view of U.S. Patent 4,937,676 to Finelli et al. (Finelli).

This rejection is traversed at least for the following reasons.

Within independent claims 40, 47, 58 and 65, the display device is incorporated within the camera.

Takahashi arguably teaches an image reproduction system for reproducing a still image from a video. However, the Office Action admits on page 13 that Takahashi fails to explicitly state that the display device is incorporated within the video camera. Finelli has been cited for the features deficient within Takahashi

While Finelli arguably discloses an electronic camera system with a detachable printer, Finelli fails to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed feature of the display device being incorporated within the video camera. Instead, Finelli arguably teaches a display 62 incorporated within a printer 14, but fails to disclose, teach or suggest a display incorporated within the video camera 12 (figure 1).

Withdrawal of this rejection and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Claims 8-20, 25-26, 29 and 31-38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly being obvious over Takahashi in view of U.S. Patent 5,559,554 to Uekane et al. (Uekane).

This rejection is traversed at least for the following reasons.

Within independent claims 8, 40, 47, 58 and 65, and the claims dependent thereon, the display device is incorporated within the camera and a system incorporated within the printer housing portion controls the camera to select an image for exhibition on the display device.

Takahashi arguably teaches an image reproduction system for reproducing a still image from a video. Takahashi arguably teaches an operation unit 230 attached or integrated with the video printer 203, and that the operation unit 230 is able to control the printing operation and the operation of the VTR (figure 19A, B and column 15, lines 34-37). Takahashi arguably teaches that an operator operates the camera integrated VTR 11 by the operation unit 230 provided for the printer while observing an image displayed on the monitor device 202 (column 18, lines 17-21).

Nevertheless, the Office Action admits on page 13 that Takahashi fails to explicitly state that the display device is incorporated within the video camera. In this regard, the incorporation of the monitor device 202 within the camera 211 is not found in Takahashi (figure 19). Thus, Takahashi fails to disclose, teach or suggest a display device that is incorporated within the camera, and fails to disclose, teach or suggest a system incorporated within the printer housing portion that controls the camera to select an image for exhibition on the display device that is incorporated within the camera. Uekane has been cited within the Office Action for the features deficient within Takahashi.

Uekane arguably teaches a monitor screen-integrated video camera having a camera portion 1 and a monitor portion 2.

Yet, Uekane fails to disclose, teach or suggest a system incorporated within a printer housing portion that controls the monitor screen-integrated video camera to select an image for exhibition on the monitor portion 2. In this regard, Uekane arguably teaches operation switches

18 on the monitor portion 2, while failing to disclose, teach or suggest the monitor portion 2 as being controllable from a source external to the monitor portion 2.

And as previously noted, Takahashi fails to disclose, teach or suggest a display device is incorporated within a camera.

As a result, Takahashi and Uekane, either individually or in combination, fail to disclose, teach or suggest a display device incorporated within a camera that is controllable by a system external to the camera since these features are absent from both of these references at least for the reasons provided hereinabove.

Thus, Takahashi and Uekane, when taken individually or as a whole, fail to disclose, teach or suggest a system incorporated within the printer housing portion that controls the camera to select an image for exhibition on the display device that is incorporated within the camera.

Withdrawal of this rejection and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

If by chance that the allowance of at least the claim 8 and the claims dependent thereon are not forthcoming at the very least in favor of a new grounds of rejection, then a **new non-final Office Action** is respectfully requested.

Claims 21-24 and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly being obvious over Takahashi in view of Uekane, and in further view of U.S. Patent 4,507,689 to Kozuki et al. (Kozuki).

In addition to the reasons provided hereinabove with respect to the rejection of claims 8-20, 25-26, 29 and 31-38, this rejection is traversed at least for the following reasons.

Kozuki arguably teaches a component video system and arrangement for interconnecting the same having a video camera 100 (figure 1). However, Kozuki fails to disclose, teach or suggest a display device is incorporated within the camera 100. Instead,

Kozuki depicts a TV monitor 258 separated from the video tuner 200' (figure 3(C)), and depicts a TV monitor 358 separated from the reproducing equipment 300' (figure 3(D)).

Thus, Takahashi, Uekane, and Kozuki when taken individually or as a whole, fail to disclose, teach or suggest a system incorporated within the printer housing portion that controls the camera to select an image for exhibition on the display device that is incorporated within the camera.

Withdrawal of this rejection and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

If by chance that the allowance of at least the claims 21-24 and 30 are not forthcoming at the very least in favor of a new grounds of rejection, then a *new non-final Office Action* is respectfully requested.

Claims 28 and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly being obvious over Takahashi in view of Uekane, and in further view of Finelli.

In addition to the reasons provided hereinabove with respect to the rejection of claims 8-20, 25-26, 29 and 31-38, this rejection is traversed at least for the following reasons.

Finelli fails to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed feature of the display device being incorporated within the video camera. Instead, Finelli arguably teaches a display 62 incorporated within a printer 14, but fails to disclose, teach or suggest a display incorporated within the video camera 12 (figure 1).

Thus, Takahashi, Uekane, and Finelli when taken individually or as a whole, fail to disclose, teach or suggest a system incorporated within the printer housing portion that controls the camera to select an image for exhibition on the display device that is incorporated within the camera.

Withdrawal of this rejection and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

If by chance that the allowance of at least the claims 28 and 30 are not forthcoming at the very least in favor of a new grounds of rejection, then a **new non-final Office Action** is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, all the claims now pending in the present application are allowable, and the present application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reexamination and reconsideration of the application in light of the amendments and remarks is courteously solicited.

If the Examiner has any comments or suggestions that could place this application in even better form, the Examiner is requested to telephone Brian K. Dutton, Reg. No. 47,255, at 202-955-8753 or the undersigned attorney at the below-listed number.

If any fee is required or any overpayment made, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fee or credit the overpayment to Deposit Account # 18-0013.

Dated: July 1, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

By

Ronald P. Kananen

Registration No.: 24,104

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC

1233 20th Street, N.W.

Suite 501

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 955-3750

Attorney for Applicant

Reg # 40,949